

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Effects of co-fermentation with *Lachancea thermotolerans* or *Metschnikowia pulcherrima* on concentration of aroma compounds in Pinot Blanc wine

KATARÍNA ŽENIŠOVÁ – TEREZA CABICAROVÁ – ROSSANA SIDARI – EMIL KOLEK – DOMENICO PANGALLO – TOMÁŠ SZEMES – TOMÁŠ KUČTA

Summary

Slovakian strains of *Lachancea thermotolerans* and *Metschnikowia pulcherrima* were used in sequential co-fermentation with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in small-scale production of Pinot Blanc wine from the Small Carpathian wine region in Slovakia. Aroma compounds of the produced wines were analysed using solid-phase microextraction coupled to gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. Thirty-six aroma compounds were quantified, demonstrating no significant differences in concentrations of almost half of them, including acetic acid, ethyl acetate, 2,3-butanediol and butanoic acid. Wines produced with non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts did not contain increased concentrations of aroma-active esters, but contained increased concentrations of methionol and decreased concentrations of furfural. Wine produced with *L. thermotolerans* contained increased concentrations of 2-phenylethanol, diethyl succinate and phenylethyl acetate, together with an increased concentration of 3-methylbutanoic acid. Wine produced with *M. pulcherrima* contained increased concentrations of 2-phenylethanol and diethyl succinate, together with a decreased concentration of acetaldehyde. Results of the study demonstrate that *L. thermotolerans* and *M. pulcherrima*, when used in a co-culture with *S. cerevisiae*, can modulate the composition of Pinot Blanc wine regarding aroma compounds, thereby positively contributing to its quality.

Keywords

wine; *Lachancea*; *Metschnikowia*; aroma

Non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts are becoming widely considered for production of wines with alternative or more complex aroma profiles. Various non-*Saccharomyces* yeast species and strains have been shown to provide specific metabolic products during fermentation of grape must, such as terpenoids, esters, higher alcohols, glycerol, acetaldehyde, acetic acid or succinic acid. In order to guarantee successful fermentation, non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts are used in mixed cultures with *Saccharomyces* strains, while sequential inoculation facilitates stronger contribution of the former to organoleptic properties of wine [1–3].

A widely studied non-*Saccharomyces* yeast, which is already commercially available and practically used, is *Lachancea thermotolerans*. This yeast is promoted as aroma and flavour enhancer, producing wines with increased concentrations of lactic acid, glycerol and 2-phenylethanol by mixed fermentation of grape must. Fortunately, the increase in glycerol is not accompanied by an increase in acetic acid concentration in wines produced by co-fermentation with *L. thermotolerans* [4–6].

Another widely studied non-*Saccharomyces* yeast, which is also commercially available and

Katarína Ženišová, Tereza Cabicarová, Emil Kolek, Tomáš Kuchta, Food Research Institute, National Agricultural and Food Centre, Priemyselná 4, 82475 Bratislava, Slovakia.

Rossana Sidari, Department of AGRARIA, Mediterranean University of Reggio Calabria, loc. Feo di Vito, 89122 Reggio Calabria, Italy.

Domenico Pangallo, Institute of Molecular Biology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Dúbravská cesta 21, 84551 Bratislava, Slovakia.

Tomáš Szemes, Department of Molecular Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Comenius University, Ilkovičova 6, 84215 Bratislava, Slovakia; Science Park, Comenius University, Ilkovičova 8, 84104 Bratislava, Slovakia; Geneton Ltd., Ilkovičova 8, 84104 Bratislava, Slovakia.

Correspondence author

Katarína Ženišová, e-mail: katarina.zenisova@nppc.sk

practically used, is *Metschnikowia pulcherrima*. It is promoted as a high producer of esters, in particular the pear-associated ethyl octanoate [7, 8]. Various white wines obtained by sequential fermentation with *M. pulcherrima* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* showed higher quality scores than control wines obtained by fermentation solely with *S. cerevisiae* [2, 9].

In this study, *L. thermotolerans* and *M. pulcherrima* were applied in small-scale production of Pinot Blanc wine from the Small Carpathian wine region in Slovakia. Two non-*Saccharomyces* yeast strains of Slovakian origin were used for sequential inoculation together with a *S. cerevisiae* strain of the same origin. Aroma compounds of the produced wines were analysed using solid-phase microextraction coupled to gas chromatography-mass spectrometry.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains and inoculum preparation

Lachancea thermotolerans 5-1-1, *Metschnikowia pulcherrima* 11-1-7 and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* PDA W10 were from the Culture Collection of Wine Yeasts (Food Research Institute, National Agricultural and Food Centre, Bratislava, Slovakia). These strains were previously isolated in Slovakia and were selected based on their enzymatic potential, enological properties and results of preliminary microvinification experiments (ŽENIŠOVÁ and SIDARI, unpublished results). A loopful of each strain was inoculated to 10 ml of sterile must with potassium metabisulfite (to form 20 mg·l⁻¹ of free SO₂) and incubated statically for 24 h at 25 °C. Then, 1 ml of the prepared culture was transferred to 100 ml of sterile must with potassium metabisulfite (to form 20 mg·l⁻¹ of free SO₂) and incubated statically for 24 h at 25 °C. Finally, 10 ml of this culture was transferred to 1000 ml of sterile must with potassium metabisulfite (to form 20 mg·l⁻¹ of free SO₂) and incubated with shaking of 2 Hz for 24 h at 25 °C. The must used for inoculum propagation was Pinot Blanc (20 °Brix, pH 3.0, acidity of 9.4 g·l⁻¹).

Wine samples

Mature grapes of Pinot Blanc were collected from a small vineyard in Modra, Slovakia, Small Carpathian wine region (vintage 2018; collection date 18 September 2018) and processed in a traditional way [10]. The must (24 °Brix, acidity of 9.4 g·l⁻¹) was decanted, divided to batches of 90 l and individual batches were inoculated with *L. thermotolerans*, *M. pulcherrima* or *S. cerevi-*

siae at 1% (v/v). With a delay of 24 h, the first two batches were additionally inoculated with *S. cerevisiae* at 1% (v/v). All inocula contained 10⁶–10⁷ CFU·ml⁻¹ yeasts. Upon the onset of fermentation, cooling devices were applied and fermentation took place at 16 °C. After the end of fermentation, the wine was separated and filtered through a sheet filter Hobafilt N S15N (cellulose, diatomaceous earth and perlite, pore size 2 µm; Hobra – Školník, Broumov, Czech Republic).

Analytical methods

Solid phase microextraction (SPME) was carried out using a polydimethylsiloxan-divinylbenzene fibre, coating thickness 65 µm (Supelco, Bellefonte, Pennsylvania, USA) immersed in 10 ml of wine sample mixed at 6 Hz on a magnetic stirrer during 30 min at 20 °C. The extracted compounds were analysed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) using a 6890N gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA) coupled to a 5973 mass spectrometric detector (Agilent Technologies). The SPME fibre was placed in the inlet of the chromatograph for 2 min at 250 °C so as to desorb the extracted compounds. The gas chromatographic separation took place in a high polarity polyethyleneglycol column DB-WAXetr (length 30 m, inner diameter 0.25 mm, stationary phase thickness 0.5 µm; Agilent Technologies) using a temperature programme of 35 °C for 1 min, 5 °C·min⁻¹ and 250 °C for 1 min. The split ratio was 10:1. An average velocity of He carrier gas was 34 cm·s⁻¹ at constant flow. Ionization voltage of 70 eV was used. Identification of compounds was done by comparison of mass spectra with NIST 14 MS library (National Institute Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA). For quantification, total ion current was detected, 4-methyl-2-pentanol was used as an internal standard and individual peaks were calibrated using authentic standards (all from Sigma, St. Louis, Missouri, USA).

Other analyses were performed in accordance with the official methodology of International Organisation of Vine and Wine [11].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Pinot Blanc wines produced using co-fermentation with *L. thermotolerans* or *M. pulcherrima* were characterized and compared to that produced solely with *S. cerevisiae*. The wine samples were prepared in conditions that mimicked small-scale production by traditional producers. Chemical parameters of the produced wines are presented

Tab. 1. Chemical parameters of Pinot Blanc wine fermented using *S. cerevisiae* with or without pre-inoculation with *L. thermotolerans* or *M. pulcherrima*.

	<i>L. thermotolerans</i> + <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>M. pulcherrima</i> + <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>
Ethanol (v/v) [%]	13.8	14.0	13.8
pH	3.4	3.4	3.6
Total acidity [g·l ⁻¹]	4.8 ^a	4.9 ^a	4.4 ^b
Sugar [°Brix]	5.5 ^a	6.0 ^b	6.0 ^b

Mean values of three measurements are presented. Values in rows marked by different superscript letters are significantly different at $p < 0.05$ as tested by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test.

Tab. 2. Concentrations of aroma compounds in Pinot Blanc wine fermented using *S. cerevisiae* with or without pre-inoculation with *L. thermotolerans* or *M. pulcherrima*.

Compound [mg·l ⁻¹]	<i>L. thermotolerans</i> + <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>M. pulcherrima</i> + <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>
Ethyl formate	0.00 ± 0.00 ^a	0.31 ± 0.12 ^b	0.45 ± 0.14 ^b
Methyl acetate	0.51 ± 0.09 ^a	0.27 ± 0.04 ^b	0.33 ± 0.08
Ethyl acetate	24.53 ± 0.55	22.89 ± 1.52	21.47 ± 2.22
2-Methylpropyl acetate	0.05 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.02	0.06 ± 0.01
Ethyl butanoate	0.14 ± 0.01 ^a	0.13 ± 0.01 ^a	0.16 ± 0.01 ^b
1-Propanol	11.25 ± 2.73	9.84 ± 1.86	7.57 ± 1.81
Ethyl 3-methylbutanoate	0.01 ± 0.00 ^a	0.02 ± 0.00 ^b	0.02 ± 0.00 ^b
Butyl acetate	0.72 ± 0.22	1.05 ± 0.56	0.61 ± 0.26
3-Methylbutyl acetate	0.79 ± 0.01 ^a	0.58 ± 0.04 ^b	1.37 ± 0.08 ^c
1-Butanol	0.35 ± 0.02	0.38 ± 0.09	0.32 ± 0.03
Pentyl acetate	0.00 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.03	0.01 ± 0.01
2-Methyl-1-butanol	46.66 ± 0.59	42.60 ± 1.22	47.01 ± 4.00
3-Methyl-1-butanol	133.58 ± 2.44	122.46 ± 3.85	138.10 ± 12.24
Ethyl hexanoate	0.39 ± 0.03	0.32 ± 0.04	0.34 ± 0.03
Hexyl acetate	0.01 ± 0.00 ^a	0.01 ± 0.00 ^b	0.02 ± 0.00 ^c
3-Methyl-1-pentanol	0.17 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.00	0.18 ± 0.02
1-Hexanol	0.87 ± 0.03 ^a	0.84 ± 0.01	0.76 ± 0.05 ^b
Ethyl octanoate	0.34 ± 0.07	0.26 ± 0.06	0.26 ± 0.05
1-Heptanol	0.22 ± 0.01 ^a	0.17 ± 0.01 ^b	0.28 ± 0.01 ^c
Furfural	0.32 ± 0.06 ^a	0.21 ± 0.07 ^a	1.78 ± 0.49 ^b
Acetic acid	135.83 ± 10.40	113.66 ± 13.87	123.36 ± 22.94
2,3-Butanediol	786.40 ± 134.02	623.79 ± 54.75	615.53 ± 122.23
Linalool	0.01 ± 0.00 ^a	0.01 ± 0.00 ^a	0.01 ± 0.00 ^b
Ethyl decanoate	0.10 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.02
Butanoic acid	1.62 ± 0.14	1.43 ± 0.08	1.47 ± 0.17
Diethyl succinate	0.85 ± 0.05 ^a	0.77 ± 0.02 ^a	0.40 ± 0.05 ^b
3-Methylbutanoic acid	1.61 ± 0.26 ^a	0.82 ± 0.03 ^b	1.03 ± 0.02 ^b
Methionol	0.63 ± 0.07 ^a	0.49 ± 0.14 ^a	0.24 ± 0.03 ^b
Phenylethyl acetate	0.63 ± 0.07 ^a	0.46 ± 0.03 ^b	0.59 ± 0.02 ^a
Geraniol	0.02 ± 0.01 ^a	0.01 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00 ^b
Hexanoic acid	2.34 ± 0.08 ^a	2.00 ± 0.01 ^b	2.27 ± 0.08 ^a
2-Phenylethanol	63.11 ± 2.13 ^a	57.58 ± 1.26 ^b	45.30 ± 2.50 ^c
Octanoic acid	2.81 ± 0.12	2.57 ± 0.19 ^a	3.10 ± 0.10 ^b
2-Methoxy-4-vinylphenol	0.15 ± 0.01 ^a	0.09 ± 0.00 ^b	0.11 ± 0.01 ^c
Decanoic acid	0.32 ± 0.04	0.30 ± 0.05	0.28 ± 0.02

Wine samples were analysed in triplicate. Values represent mean ± standard deviation. Concentrations of 2,3-butanediol isomers were summarized. Values in rows marked by different superscript letters are significantly different at $p < 0.05$ as tested by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test.

in Tab. 1, they all fell within the usual ranges of this wine variety [10]. The values for individual samples were similar but the wines produced using non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts had slightly higher total acidity with corresponding slightly lower pH. White wines are generally more acidic than red wines, while acidity gives the wine crispness on the palate. Acidity of a wine is one of its attractive properties, as it improves its refreshing, crisp qualities and it makes possible to combine wines successfully with certain food [10, 12].

Results of GC-MS analysis of aroma compounds are presented in Tab. 2. Thirty-six compounds that are known to contribute to wine aroma [12–14] were identified and quantified. Concentrations of almost half of them did not differ significantly between the analysed samples, including acetic acid or ethyl acetate, as well as those of 2,3-butanediol and butanoic acid, which are taken as off-flavours. No desirable increase was observed in wines produced with non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts regarding aroma-active esters, such as ethyl hexanoate, ethyl octanoate or ethyl decanoate. However, interesting was the increase in concentration of methionol, which is a common component of wine and is characterized by soupy, onion or cooked vegetable flavour. Concentration of furfural was decreased in both wines produced with non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts.

Positive effects were observed in wine produced by co-fermentation with *L. thermotolerans* regarding increased concentrations of 2-phenylethanol (floral flavour), diethyl succinate (fruity flavour) and phenylethyl acetate (honey flavour), while the increased concentration of 3-methylbutanoic acid can be taken as detrimental as the compound is an off-flavour. Positive effects were observed also in wine produced by co-fermentation with *M. pulcherrima*. These included an increase in concentration of 2-phenylethanol and diethyl succinate, which are floral and fruity flavours, accompanied with a decrease in the concentration of acetaldehyde, which is taken as an off-flavour. The concentrations of aroma compounds determined in this study fall within the ranges previously reported for Central European Pinot Blanc wines and correspond to wines of good quality [10, 14–16].

CONCLUSIONS

Although several properties of non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts in winemaking are apparently strain-dependent, our results overall confirm that *L. thermotolerans* and *M. pulcherrima*, when used

in a co-culture with *S. cerevisiae*, can modulate the composition of wine regarding aroma compounds, thereby positively contributing to its quality.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency by the project APVV-16-0264.

REFERENCES

1. Clemente-Jimenez, J. M. – Mingorance-Cazorla, L. – Martínez-Rodríguez, S. – Las Heras-Vázquez, F. J. – Rodríguez-Vico, F.: Molecular characterization and oenological properties of wine yeasts isolated during spontaneous fermentation of six varieties of grape must. *Food Microbiology*, 21, 2004, pp. 149–155. DOI: 10.1016/S0740-0020(03)00063-7.
2. Jolly, N. P. – Varela, C. – Pretorius, I. S.: Not your ordinary yeast: non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts in wine production uncovered. *FEMS Yeast Research*, 14, 2014, pp. 215–237. DOI: 10.1111/1567-1364.12111.
3. Binati, R. L. – Wilson, J. F. L. J. – Luzzini, G. – Slaghenaufi, D. – Ugliano, M. – Torriani, S.: Contribution of non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts to wine volatile and sensory diversity: A study on *Lachancea thermotolerans*, *Metschnikowia* spp. and *Starmerella bacillaris* strains isolated in Italy. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 318, 2020, article 108470. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108470>.
4. Kapsopoulou, K. – Mourtzini, A. – Anthoulas, M. – Nerantzis, E.: Biological acidification during grape must fermentation using mixed cultures of *Kluyveromyces thermotolerans* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 23, 2007, pp. 735–739. DOI: 10.1007/s11274-006-9283-5.
5. Gobbi, M. – Comitini, F. – Domizio, P. – Romani, C. – Lencioni, L. – Mannazzu, I. – Ciani, M.: *Lachancea thermotolerans* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in simultaneous and sequential co-fermentation: A strategy to enhance acidity and improve the overall quality of wine. *Food Microbiology*, 33, 2013, pp. 271–281. DOI: 10.1016/j.fm.2012.10.004.
6. Hranilovic, A. – Gambetta, J. M. – Schmidtke, L. – Boss, P. K. – Grbin, P. R. – Masneuf-Pomarede, I. – Bely, M. – Albertin, W. – Jiranek, V.: Oenological traits of *Lachancea thermotolerans* show signs of domestication and allopatric differentiation. *Scientific Reports*, 8, 2018, article 14812. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-018-33105-7.
7. Lambrechts, M. G. – Pretorius, I. S.: Yeast and its importance to wine aroma - A review. *South African Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 21, 2000, pp. 97–129. DOI: 10.21548/21-1-3560.
8. Rodríguez, M. E. – Lopes, C. A. – Barbagelata, R. J. – Barda, N. B. – Caballero, A. C.: Influence of *Candida pulcherrima* Patagonian strain on alcoholic fermentation behaviour and wine aroma. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*,

- 138, 2010, pp. 19–25. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.12.025.
9. Sadoudi, M. – Tourdot-Maréchal, R. – Rousseaux, S. – Steyer, D. – Gallardo-Chacón, J. J. – Ballester, J. – Vichi, S. – Guérin-Schneider, R. – Caixach, J. – Alexandre, H.: Yeast–yeast interactions revealed by aromatic profile analysis of Sauvignon Blanc wine fermented by single or co-culture of non-*Saccharomyces* and *Saccharomyces* yeasts. *Food Microbiology*, 32, 2012, pp. 243–253. DOI: 10.1016/j.fm.2012.06.006.
 10. Pavloušek, P.: Výroba vína u malovinářů. (Production of wine in small wineries.) 2nd edition. Praha : Grada, 2010. ISBN: 9788024734873. In Czech.
 11. Compendium of international methods of wine and must analysis. Vol. 1. Paris : International Organisation of Vine and Wine, 2020. ISBN: 978-2-85038-017-4. <<https://www.oiv.int/public/medias/7372/oiv-compendium-volume-1-2020.pdf>>
 12. Harrington, R. J.: Food and wine pairing: A sensory experience. Hoboken : John Wiley and Sons, 2007. ISBN: 978-0-471-79407-3.
 13. Styger, G. – Prior, B. – Bauer, F. F.: Wine flavor and aroma. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 38, 2011, pp. 1145–1159. DOI: 10.1007/s10295-011-1018-4.
 14. Philipp, C. – Sari, S. – Eder, P. – Patzl-Fischerleitner, E. – Eder, R.: Austrian Pinot blanc wines: Typicity, wine styles and the influence of different oenological decisions on the volatile profile of wines. *BIO Web of Conferences*, 15, 2019, article 02005. DOI: 10.1051/bioconf/20191502005.
 15. De Matos, A. D. – Longo, E. – Chiotti, D. – Pedri, U. – Eisenstecken, D. – Sanoll, C. – Robatscher, P. – Boselli, E.: Pinot Blanc: Impact of the winemaking variables on the evolution of the phenolic, volatile and sensory profiles. *Foods*, 9, 2020, article 499. DOI: 10.3390/foods9040499.
 16. Temerdashev, Z. A. – Khalafyan, A. A. – Yakuba, Y. F.: Comparative assessment of amino acids and volatile compounds role in the formation of wines sensor properties by means of covariation analysis. *Heliyon*, 5, 2019, e02626. DOI: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02626.

Received 19 November 2020; 1st revised 14 January 2021; accepted 22 January 2021; published online 24 January 2021.